

<b>Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary</b>	<b>Application No.</b>	<b>Applicant(s)</b>
	10/528,064	CEDERLOF ET AL.
	<b>Examiner</b>	<b>Art Unit</b>
	Gopal C. Ray	2111

**All Participants:**

**Status of Application:** allowable

(1) Gopal C. Ray (USPTO). (3) \_\_\_\_\_

(2) Mr. John R. Lastova (Reg.# 33,149). (4) \_\_\_\_\_

**Date of Interview:** 20 December 2006

**Time:** \_\_\_\_\_

**Type of Interview:**

- Telephonic  
 Video Conference  
 Personal (Copy given to:  Applicant  Applicant's representative)

**Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated:**  Yes  No

If Yes, provide a brief description:

**Part I.**

**Rejection(s) discussed:**

112(2)

**Claims discussed:**

2 & 7

**Prior art documents discussed:**

None

**Part II.**

**SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:**

*A call was made to propose and discuss an examiner's amendment to overcome 112(2) problems of the above claims. An agreement was made and the authorization for the examiner amendment was given by Mr. Lastova at the interview.*

**Part III.**

- It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.  
 It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.

*Gopal C. Ray*

**GOPAL C. RAY  
PRIMARY EXAMINER  
GROUP 2100**

(Examiner/SPE Signature)

(Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)